## BEFORE THE

INDEPENDENT CITIZENS' OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE
AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE
TO THE
CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE
ORGANIZED PURSUANT TO THE
CALIFORNIA STEM CELL RESEARCH AND CURES ACT

#### REGULAR MEETING

LOCATION: AS INDICATED ON THE AGENDA

DATE: APRIL 26, 2018

9 A.M.

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, CSR

CA CSR. NO. 7152

FILE NO.: 2018-08

#### INDEX

ITEM DESCRIPTION PAGE NO.

OPEN SESSION:

1. CALL TO ORDER.

3

2. ROLL CALL.

3

3. CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN 4
RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS

4. CLOSED SESSION:

NONE

DISCUSSION OF CONFIDENTIAL INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OR WORK PRODUCT, PREPUBLICATION DATA, FINANCIAL INFORMATION, CONFIDENTIAL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH OR DATA, AND OTHER PROPRIETARY INFORMATION RELATING TO APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL STAGE PROJECTS (HEALTH & SAFETY CODE 125290.30(f)(3)(B) AND (C)).

6. PUBLIC COMMENT.

NONE

7. ADJOURNMENT.

16

1	THURSDAY, APRIL 26, 2018
2	9 A.M.
3	
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: WELCOME, EVERYBODY, TO
5	THE REGULAR MEETING OF THE ICOC AND THE APPLICATION
6	REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE FOR APRIL 2018. MARIA, WILL YOU
7	PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
9	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
11	DR. HIGGINS: HERE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: STEVE JUELSGAARD. SHERRY
13	LANSING.
14	MS. LANSING: YES, HERE.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
16	DR. MARTIN: HERE.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
18	MS. MILLER: HERE.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
20	DR. PADILLA: HERE.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. FRANCISCO
22	PRIETO. ROBERT QUINT.
23	DR. QUINT: PRESENT.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
25	MR. ROWLETT: PRESENT.
	3

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
2	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: PRESENT.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
4	DR. STEWARD: HERE, LITERALLY.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
6	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: HERE.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
8	MR. TORRES: HERE.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
10	DR. MELMED: SHLOMO MELMED. I'M HERE.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU. ARE THERE
12	OTHER MEMBERS OF THE BOARD THAT I DID NOT CALL?
13	MR. BURTIS: YES. THIS IS KEN BURTIS AT
14	UC DAVIS.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANKS, KEN.
16	DIANE, ARE YOU ON THE CALL? IF YOU ARE,
17	WE CAN'T HEAR YOU. YOU MIGHT BE ON MUTE.
18	MR. TORRES: SHE WAS ON THE CALL A MOMENT
19	AGO.
20	MS. WINOKUR: I AM ON THE CALL.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANKS, DIANE. ALL
22	RIGHT.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MARIA.
24	WE'RE GOING TO GO TO ITEM 3, CONSIDERATION OF
25	APPLICATIONS SUBMITTED IN RESPONSE TO CLINICAL TRIAL
	4

1	STAGE PROJECTS. AND WE'LL TURN IT OVER HERE TO
2	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY.
3	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN
4	THOMAS.
5	ARE YOU PRESENTING TODAY, DR. SAMBRANO?
6	DR. SAMBRANO: YES, I AM. THANK YOU VERY
7	MUCH, MR. SHEEHY.
8	JUST SO YOU ALL KNOW, I HAVE WITH ME DR.
9	SHYAM PATEL FROM THE REVIEW TEAM TO HELP ME ADDRESS
10	ANY QUESTIONS SHOULD THEY ARISE. AMY IS AT THE
11	MOMENT LOOKING FOR THE SLIDES TO PUT UP, BUT WE CAN
12	CERTAINLY GET STARTED WITHOUT THEM.
13	SO TODAY WE'RE BRINGING FOR YOUR
14	CONSIDERATION THREE APPLICATIONS RESPONDING TO OUR
15	CLINICAL STAGE PROGRAM. SO THIS IS CLIN2. THEY ALL
16	HAPPEN TO BE CLIN2, CLINICAL TRIAL APPLICATIONS.
17	A REMINDER OF THE SCORING SYSTEM THAT WE
18	USE JUST SO YOU HAVE CLARITY ON WHAT THE
19	RECOMMENDATIONS MEAN. WE SCORE THESE APPLICATIONS
20	AS $1$ , $2$ , OR A $3$ , WITH THE $1$ MEANING THAT IT HAS
21	EXCEPTIONAL MERIT AND WARRANTS FUNDING; A SCORE OF 2
22	MEANS IT NEEDS IMPROVEMENT AND CAN BE RESUBMITTED TO
23	ADDRESS THOSE AREAS OF IMPROVEMENT; AND A SCORE OF 3
24	MEANS IT IS SUFFICIENTLY FLAWED THAT IT SHOULD NOT
25	BE RESUBMITTED FOR AT LEAST SIX MONTHS. SO THAT'S

1	THE SCORING SYSTEM WE USE.
2	THE FIRST APPLICATION TO BE CONSIDERED IS
3	CLIN2-10830, AND THIS IS AN APPLICATION
4	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: GIL, CAN I TAKE THEM
5	OUT OF ORDER? CAN WE START WITH 10846 PLEASE?
6	DR. SAMBRANO: SURE. SO CLIN2-10846. SO
7	THIS ONE IS A PHASE 1 CLINICAL TRIAL OF A CAR-T CELL
8	THERAPY FOR B CELL CANCERS. SO THE THERAPY IS A
9	T-CELL OR T-CELLS THAT ARE GENETICALLY ENGINEERED TO
10	TARGET CD 19 AND/OR CD 22 ON CANCER B CELLS. AND
11	THIS IS OBVIOUSLY FOR PATIENTS THAT HAVE A RELAPSED
12	OR REFRACTORY B CELL CANCER.
13	THE GOAL OF THE PROJECT IS TO COMPLETE A
14	PHASE 1 TRIAL TO ASSESS THE SAFETY AND FEASIBILITY
15	OF THIS THERAPEUTIC APPROACH. THE FUNDS REQUESTED
16	FOR THIS APPLICATION ARE 11.9 MILLION. THE
17	APPLICANTS ARE PROVIDING 2.3 MILLION IN CO-FUNDING
18	FOR THIS PROJECT.
19	THE GWG RECOMMENDED THIS APPLICATION WITH
20	A SCORE OF 1. THERE WERE 13 MEMBERS WHO VOTED WITH
21	A SCORE OF 1; THERE WAS ONE MEMBER THAT GAVE IT A
22	SCORE OF 2; AND NONE A SCORE OF 3. THE CIRM TEAM
23	ALSO RECOMMENDS FUNDING OF THIS APPLICATION IN THE
24	AWARD AMOUNT OF \$11.9 MILLION. MR. SHEEHY.
25	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR.
	6
	U U

1	SAMBRANO.
2	DO I HAVE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE CIRM TEAM
3	RECOMMENDATION AND FUND THIS APPLICATION?
4	MS. LANSING: I'LL MOVE IT.
5	MR. TORRES: SECOND.
6	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: GOT A SECOND. IS
7	THERE ANY BOARD DISCUSSION? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT AT
8	ANY SITE? COULD WE CALL THE ROLL THEN PLEASE.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: SURE.
10	ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
11	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
13	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: SHERRY LANSING.
15	MS. LANSING: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
17	DR. MARTIN: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
19	MS. MILLER: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
21	DR. PADILLA: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: JOE PANETTA. FRANCISCO
23	PRIETO.
24	DR. PRIETO: AYE.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
	7
	7

1	DR. QUINT: YES.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
3	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
5	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
7	DR. STEWARD: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
9	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
11	MR. TORRES: AYE.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
13	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
15	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: GREAT. THANK YOU. SO
16	DR. SAMBRANO.
17	MS. LANSING: I'LL STAY ON FOR A WHILE AND
18	JUST LISTEN.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: THANK YOU.
20	MS. LANSING: I'LL PUT IT ON MUTE, BUT
21	I'LL LISTEN FOR A WHILE.
22	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DR. SAMBRANO, WOULD
23	YOU LIKE TO RETURN TO 10830 IS THE NEXT ONE.
24	DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. VERY WELL. SO
25	CLIN2-10830. THIS IS A PROJECT INTENDING TO CONDUCT
	8
	U

1	A PHASE 1 CLINICAL TRIAL OF A THERAPY FOR
2	ARTEMIS-SCID.
3	SO THE THERAPY IS GENE CORRECTION OF BLOOD
4	STEM CELLS AND TRANSPLANTATION OF THOSE
5	AUTOLOGOUSLY. THE INDICATION IS FOR CHILDREN THAT
6	HAVE SEVERE COMBINED IMMUNODEFICIENCY OR SCID THAT
7	IS DUE TO THE ARTEMIS GENE DEFECT.
8	THEIR GOAL IS TO COMPLETE A PHASE 1
9	CLINICAL TRIAL TO ASSESS THE SAFETY AND FEASIBILITY
10	OF THIS THERAPY. THE REQUEST IS 12 MILLION BY THE
11	APPLICANT. NO CO-FUNDING IS REQUIRED AND NONE IS
12	BEING PROVIDED IN THIS PARTICULAR CASE.
13	THE GWG RECOMMENDATION IS A SCORE OF 1.
14	THIS WAS UNANIMOUS, 15 GWG MEMBERS GIVING IT A SCORE
15	OF 1. THE CIRM TEAM CONCURS WITH THIS
16	RECOMMENDATION AND SUGGESTS FUNDING IN THE AWARD
17	AMOUNT OF 12 MILLION. MR. SHEEHY.
18	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. DO I HAVE
19	A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION AND
20	FUND THIS APPLICATION?
21	DR. PRIETO: SO MOVED.
22	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A SECOND?
23	MS. WINOKUR: SECOND.
24	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: IS THERE ANY BOARD
25	COMMENT OR QUESTIONS? IS THERE ANY PUBLIC COMMENT?
	9
	j –

i	,
1	CAN WE CALL THE ROLL.
2	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
3	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
4	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
5	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
6	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
7	DR. MARTIN: YES.
8	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
9	MS. MILLER: YES.
10	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
11	DR. PADILLA: YES.
12	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
13	DR. PRIETO: AYE.
14	MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
15	DR. QUINT: YES.
16	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
17	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
18	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
19	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
20	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
21	DR. STEWARD: YES.
22	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
23	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
25	MR. TORRES: AYE.
	10
	10

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
2	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
4	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. DR.
5	SAMBRANO, THE LAST APPLICATION PLEASE.
6	DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. THE LAST APPLICATION
7	IS CLIN2-11031. AND SO THIS IS FOR A PHASE 1/2
8	CLINICAL TRIAL OF A THERAPY FOR BETA-THALASSEMIA.
9	SO THIS THERAPY IS A GENE CORRECTION OF
10	AUTOLOGOUS BLOOD STEM CELLS AND TRANSPLANTATION OF
11	THOSE INTO PATIENTS WITH TRANSFUSION-DEPENDENT
12	BETA-THALASSEMIA.
13	THE GOAL OF THIS PROJECT IS TO COMPLETE A
14	PHASE 1/2 TRIAL TO ASSESS SAFETY, ACTIVITY, AND
15	EFFICACY OF THE THERAPY. THE FUNDS REQUESTED ARE \$8
16	MILLION. THE APPLICANT IS PROVIDING 14.9 MILLION IN
17	CO-FUNDING TO THIS PROJECT.
18	THE GRANTS WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATION IS
19	ALSO A UNANIMOUS RECOMMENDATION OF 1 WITH 12 MEMBERS
20	GIVING IT THAT SCORE. THE CIRM TEAM RECOMMENDATION
21	CONCURS WITH THAT OF THE GWG, AND WE RECOMMEND
22	FUNDING IN THE AWARD AMOUNT OF 8 MILLION.
23	MR. SHEEHY.
24	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU, DR.
25	SAMBRANO.

1	DO I HAVE A MOTION TO ACCEPT THE CIRM TEAM
2	RECOMMENDATION FROM THIS APPLICATION?
3	MR. TORRES: SO MOVED.
4	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: DO I HAVE A SECOND?
5	DR. PRIETO: SECOND.
6	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. DO WE HAVE
7	ANY BOARD COMMENT OR QUESTIONS?
8	DR. DULIEGE: MAYBE A BRIEF COMMENT FROM
9	ME AND A QUESTION. I SENSE THAT FROM ALL THESE
10	APPLICATIONS THE TOPIC WAS SO IMPORTANT MEDICALLY
11	AND THE SCORING FROM THE GWG GROUP WAS SO CLEAR THAT
12	THIS DOESN'T LEAD TO A LOT OF QUESTIONS, IN FACT,
13	ANY QUESTIONS.
14	MY QUESTION IS AT WHAT POINT WILL WE
15	DISCUSS THE IMPACT OF ALL THESE APPROVALS ON THE
16	TOTAL BUDGET LEFT FOR GRANTING?
17	DR. SAMBRANO: THAT'S A GREAT QUESTION.
18	SO WE DO WANT TO KEEP YOU UP TO DATE ON THAT. SO
19	ASSUMING THAT WE FUND THESE THREE PROJECTS, WE ARE
20	NEARING 65 MILLION IN TERMS OF WHAT WOULD HAVE BEEN
21	ALLOCATED. OUR ANNUAL BUDGET IS 130 MILLION. SO
22	WE'D BE ABOUT HALFWAY OR NEARLY HALFWAY.
23	DR. DULIEGE: THANK YOU FOR THIS
24	CLARIFICATION. I DON'T HAVE SPECIFIC QUESTION ON
25	THE APPLICATION ITSELF. IT WAS VERY

1	STRAIGHTFORWARD.
2	DR. STEWARD: JUST FOR THE RECORD, COULD
3	YOU SAY HOW MANY CLINICAL TRIALS THIS BRINGS US WITH
4	THE FUNDING OF THESE? I THINK IT MIGHT BE CLOSE TO
5	48 OR SOMETHING LIKE THAT.
6	DR. SAMBRANO: TOTAL. YES. CORRECT.
7	DR. STEWARD: THANKS.
8	DR. MARTIN: I HAVE ANOTHER QUESTION
9	THAT'S SORT OF IN THAT SAME CAMP. AND THAT IS OR
10	ACTUALLY JUST TAKE THIS ONE FIRST, AND MAYBE YOU CAN
11	ANSWER IT LATER. BUT WHAT HAS BEEN THE TIME LAPSED
12	FROM THIS FIRST THE DATE OF SUBMISSION OF THE
13	APPLICATION UNTIL, NOT JUST THIS VOTE, BUT BEFORE
14	THE CHECK CLEARS?
15	DR. SAMBRANO: SO TYPICALLY WELL, YOU
16	ARE ASKING FROM THE TIME THAT THEY APPLY UNTIL THEY
17	GET THEIR FIRST THE AWARD START. IS THAT THE
18	QUESTION?
19	DR. MARTIN: BECAUSE SEVERAL OF THESE I
20	NOTICED ACTUALLY ARE REAPPLICATIONS AFTER THEY HAVE
21	ADDRESSED SOME OF THE CONCERNS OF THE GROUP
22	INITIALLY. I'M JUST INTERESTED BECAUSE I THINK I
23	EXPECT IT'S GOING TO BE AN IMPRESSIVE NUMBER.
24	DR. SAMBRANO: RIGHT. SO THE TYPICAL
25	CYCLE FOR A CLINICAL REVIEW IS ABOUT THREE MONTHS

1	FROM THE TIME OF APPLICATION UP TO THE TIME THAT IT
2	GETS TO THE BOARD, ASSUMING THAT THERE IS NO
3	RESUBMISSION. IN THE CASES WHERE THERE IS A
4	RESUBMISSION, THAT MEANS THAT THE APPLICATION
5	PROBABLY TAKES FIVE MONTHS FROM THE TIME OF
6	APPLICATION TO THE TIME IT COMES TO THE BOARD.
7	DR. MARTIN: IF YOU WOULD JUST SEND ME AN
8	E-MAIL. I'M INTERESTED IN THESE SPECIFIC ONES
9	BECAUSE THEY WERE SO CLEARLY VERY POSITIVE REVIEWS
10	ON THE FINAL REVIEW. AS I RECALL, AT LEAST TWO OF
11	THEM, THESE WERE RESUBMISSIONS. AND SO THE PROCESS
12	IS OBVIOUSLY WORKING, BUT I REALLY AM INTERESTED
13	SPECIFICALLY IN KNOWING.
14	DR. SAMBRANO: OKAY. CERTAINLY.
15	DR. MARTIN: THANK YOU.
16	DR. PATEL: JUST TO ADD, AFTER THE AWARD
17	HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE BOARD, THE CONTRACTING
18	PROCESS LASTS 45 DAYS. SO CHECK IN HAND WOULD BE 45
19	DAYS AFTER APPROVAL BY THE BOARD.
20	DR. MARTIN: THANK YOU.
21	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: IS THERE ADDITIONAL
22	BOARD COMMENT OR QUESTIONS? ANY PUBLIC COMMENT AT
23	ANY OF THE SITES? CAN WE CALL THE ROLL.
24	MS. BONNEVILLE: ANNE-MARIE DULIEGE.
25	DR. DULIEGE: YES.
	14

1	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVID HIGGINS.
2	DR. HIGGINS: YES.
3	MS. BONNEVILLE: DAVE MARTIN.
4	DR. MARTIN: YES.
5	MS. BONNEVILLE: LAUREN MILLER.
6	MS. MILLER: YES.
7	MS. BONNEVILLE: ADRIANA PADILLA.
8	DR. PADILLA: YES.
9	MS. BONNEVILLE: FRANCISCO PRIETO.
10	DR. PRIETO: AYE.
11	MS. BONNEVILLE: ROBERT QUINT.
12	DR. QUINT: YES.
13	MS. BONNEVILLE: AL ROWLETT.
14	MR. ROWLETT: YES.
15	MS. BONNEVILLE: JEFF SHEEHY.
16	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: YES.
17	MS. BONNEVILLE: OS STEWARD.
18	DR. STEWARD: YES.
19	MS. BONNEVILLE: JONATHAN THOMAS.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: YES.
21	MS. BONNEVILLE: ART TORRES.
22	MR. TORRES: AYE.
23	MS. BONNEVILLE: DIANE WINOKUR.
24	MS. WINOKUR: YES.
25	MS. BONNEVILLE: MOTION CARRIES.
	15
	13

# BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR NO. 7152

1	SUPERVISOR SHEEHY: THANK YOU. CHAIRMAN
2	THOMAS, THIS CONCLUDES THE BUSINESS OF THE
3	APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE.
4	CHAIRMAN THOMAS: THANK YOU, MR.
5	SUPERVISOR. THE ONLY ITEM LEFT IS ARE THERE ANY
6	MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC WHO WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON
7	ANY TOPIC? HEARING NONE, THAT WOULD CONCLUDE
8	TODAY'S MEETING. THANK YOU VERY MUCH, EVERYBODY.
9	AND OUR NEXT MEETING WILL BE THE APPLICATION REVIEW
10	SUBCOMMITTEE AND FULL BOARD MEETING TELEPHONICALLY
11	IN MAY. THANK YOU, EVERYBODY, FOR YOUR
12	PARTICIPATION AS ALWAYS. WE STAND ADJOURNED.
13	(THE MEETING WAS THEN CONCLUDED AT
14	9:21 AM.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
	16

### REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, BETH C. DRAIN, A CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT OF THE TELEPHONIC PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE INDEPENDENT CITIZEN'S OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE AND THE APPLICATION REVIEW SUBCOMMITTEE OF THE CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE FOR REGENERATIVE MEDICINE IN THE MATTER OF ITS REGULAR MEETING HELD ON APRIL 26, 2018, WAS HELD AS HEREIN APPEARS AND THAT THIS IS THE ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPT THEREOF AND THAT THE STATEMENTS THAT APPEAR IN THIS TRANSCRIPT WERE REPORTED STENOGRAPHICALLY BY ME AND TRANSCRIPT IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE RECORD OF THE PROCEEDING.

BETH C. DRAIN, CA CSR 7152 133 HENNA COURT SANDPOINT, IDAHO (208) 255-5453